Metric Spaces and Topology Lecture 11

Disjointifying uts. Given a family (cet) I of sets, which are not recessarily disjoint, there is a way to make then disjoint without changing the safe unde. More formaly, we replace each set SEF will the set TT()=S'= { (x, S) : xES}, let 5' := { S': SEF}. Then ∀ So, S, EF, So AS' = Ø. So \mapsto So here So h (x, s)

Continuum We start by proving the three exist uncital sets. By Cartor diagonalisation without we show the for any set S, $P(S) \neq S$, where $P(S) := \{S': S' \in S\}$. $t.g. S = \emptyset \implies P(s) = \{\emptyset\}. if S = n, here P(s) = 2^{n}$ The Contor diagonalitetion we thad is a basic

algorithm of producing a new column rector in table: ah uxu X Would a vector ? that cloesuit oppear in the ? table. We take the ? auticlicyphial: Due decks the if autidiay is not eyel to whome to beame its to wordinate is different from the (K,K) entry of the table. May generally, given a set X and $R \subseteq X^2$ (binary da-tion on X), we define its autidiagonal $AD(R) := \{x \in X : (x,x) \notin R\}.$ For xEX, the vertical (regp. horizontal) fiber of R is the where $R_x = \langle y \in X : (x, y) \in R \rangle$ (resp. $R^{x} = \langle y \in X : (y, t) \in R \rangle$).

Cantor's Diagonalitation Reonen. Y X J REX², AD(R) is not a vertical or horizontal section of R. Proof. $\forall x \in X, x \in AD(R) : (x, x) \notin R : (x, x) \# R : (x, x) # (x, x) \# R : (x, x$ Thus, $AP(R) \neq R_X$ for all $x \in X$. $L \Rightarrow x \notin R^*$ Same for RX.

Carbor's theorem. For any set X, X $\rightarrow P(X)$ (equiv. $P(X) \ll X$). In particular, $P(X) \neq X$. Pool Suppose -> f: X -> P(x) suejective. We define $R := \{(x, s) : y \in f(x) \},$ $X = \{(x, s) : y \in f(x) \},$ $X = \{(x, s) : y \in f(x) \},$ $K = \{(x, s) : y \in f(x) \},$ Thus, $2^{x} = \mathcal{P}(k)$ is strictly bigger than X. $\mathbf{1}_{Y} \leftarrow \mathbf{1}_{Y}$ Obviously, $X \leftarrow \mathcal{P}(k)$. $k \mapsto 5^{n}$ In proficular, $2^{IN} = \mathcal{P}(IN)$ is unctil. We know $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}$ $\leq [0, 1]$ but also $2^{IN} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ via binary representation, so [0,1] cs 21N, hence 2'N cs [0,1] cs 2"". Thus, by the

blowing therem, $2^{N} = [0,1]$. Hence also, $2^{N} = \mathbb{R}$.

Contor - Schröcher-Bernstein Theorem. It A <> B and B <> A, then A = B. Proof. HW.

The equinnerscity class of P(N) is called continue, and any set equinnerous to P(N) is said to have cardina-life continuum. lif condicum.

Example $2^{IN} = N^{IN}$. Proof $2^{IN} \in N^{IN}$ so it's enough to prove $N^{IN} \subset 2^{IN}$. HIW Find the Use the many representation $\Lambda \rightarrow 111...1$ image at this and Os is between: $(3, 5, 4, ...) \rightarrow n$ times injection. (110 IIII) O IIII O ...). Exaple. 2'N = IN'N.

let's de an application. A métric space is called ceparable if it admits a chol dense sof. Prop. Any separable metric space X has cardinality at most car-tinnum, in fact QIN ->> X For any dense subut QEX.

Proof let Q = X he a Abl dere subset of X. We fix with, and define $f : Q^{N} \rightarrow X$ $(q_n) \mapsto flim q_n \quad if \quad Whis exists$ 2 × okernise t is sarjective becase for any xEX. there is a sequence lya converging to x (defined by choosing of from Byth) It's natural to wonder, and Cantor did, if there is an unather set smaller than confirment (i.e. c) but no bije clion), in other words, is there an unable subset of R not equinumerous to R? The negative answer is known as: Continuum Hypothesis (CH). There is no uset by set that is IR but \$\$ IR. (II was shown by K. Göckel to be consistent ville ZFC and then it was prove P. Cohen (using a new method called toring) that -1 CH is also consistent with ZFC.